

# **COSETS AND LAGRANGE'S THEOREM**

**Ms.J.D.Sundari M.Sc.,M.Ed.,M.Phil.,SET**  
**Asst. Professor of Mathematics**

---

## Left Coset:

---

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Let  $a \in G$ . Then the set  $aH = \{ah / h \in H\}$  is called the left Coset of  $H$  defined by  $a$  in  $G$ .

## Right Coset:

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Let  $a \in G$ . Then the set  $Ha = \{ha / h \in H\}$  is called the right Coset of  $H$  defined by  $a$  in  $G$ .

## Example:

Consider  $(\mathbb{Z}_{12}, \oplus)$ . Then  $H = \{0, 4, 8\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . The left cosets of  $H$  are

$$0+H = \{0, 4, 8\} = H$$

$$1+H = \{1, 5, 9\}$$

$$2+H = \{2, 6, 10\}$$

$$3+H = \{3, 7, 11\}$$

$$4+H = \{4, 8, 0\}$$

$$5+H = \{5, 9, 1\}$$

We notice that  $4+H = \{4, 8, 0\} = H$  and

$$5+H = \{5, 9, 1\} = 1+H \text{ etc}$$

## Theorem:

---

Let  $H$  be a subgroups of  $G$ . Then

- (i) any two left cosets of  $H$  are either identical or disjoint
- (ii) Union of all the left cosets of  $H$  is  $G$
- (iii) the number of elements in any left coset  $aH$  is the same as the number of elements in  $H$

## Proof of the theorem:

(i) Let  $aH$  and  $bH$  be two left cosets. Suppose  $aH$  and  $bH$  are not disjoint. to prove that  $aH = bH$

Since  $aH$  and  $bH$  are not disjoint  $aH \cap bH \neq \emptyset$

$\therefore$  there exists an element  $c \in aH \cap bH$

$\therefore c \in aH \cap bH$  and  $c \in aH \cap bH$

$\therefore aH = cH$  and  $bH = cH$

(by  $a \in bH \Leftrightarrow aH = bH$ )

$\therefore aH = bH$

(ii) let  $a \in G$ . Then  $a = ae \in aH$

---

$\therefore$  Every element of  $G$  belongs to a left coset of  $H$ .

$\therefore$  The union of all the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

(iii) The map  $f: H \rightarrow aH$  defined by  $f(h) = ah$  is clearly a bijection.

Hence every left coset has the same number of elements as  $H$ .

## Theorem:

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . the number of left cosets of  $H$  is the same as the number of right cosets of  $H$

## Proof:

Let  $L$  and  $R$  be denote the set of left and right cosets of  $H$ . we define a map  $f:L \rightarrow R$  by

$$f(aH) = Ha^{-1}$$

$$aH = bH \Rightarrow a^{-1}b \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1} \in Hb^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow Ha^{-1} = Hb^{-1}$$

$\therefore f$  is well defined.

$$f(aH) = f(bH) \Rightarrow Ha^{-1} = Hb^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1} \in Hb^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{-1} = hb^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a = bh^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in bH$$

$$\Rightarrow aH = Hb$$

$\therefore f$  is 1-1



For, every right coset  $Ha$  has a pre image  $a^{-1}H$ .

$\therefore f$  is onto

Hence  $f$  is bijection from  $L$  to  $R$ .

Hence the number of left cosets is same as the number of right cosets

---

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ ,  
and  $a, b$  in  $G$ .

1.  $aH = bH$  iff  $a$  belongs to  $bH$
2.  $aH$  and  $bH$  are either equal or disjoint
3.  $|aH| = |bH|$

# LAGRANGE'S THEOREM

---

If  $G$  is finite group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then

- a)  $|H|$  divides  $|G|$ .
- b) The number of distinct left (right) cosets of  $H$  in  $G$  is  $|G|/|H|$

Proof:

Let  $H \leq G$  with  $|G| = n$ , and

$|H| = k$ .

Write the elements of  $H$  in row 1:

$e$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$\dots$	$h_k$
-----	-------	-------	---------	-------

---

Choose any  $a_2$  in  $G$  not in row 1.

Write  $a_2H$  in the second row.

$e$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$\dots$	$h_k$
$a_2$	$a_2 h_2$	$a_2 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_2 h_k$

Continue in a similar manner...

Since  $G$  is finite, this process will end

$e$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$\dots$	$h_k$
$a_2$	$a_2 h_2$	$a_2 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_2 h_k$
$a_3$	$a_3 h_2$	$a_3 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_3 h_k$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$
$a_r$	$a_r h_2$	$a_r h_3$		$a_r h_k$

Rows are disjoint by (2)

Each row has  $k$  elements by (3)

$e$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$\dots$	$h_k$
$a_2$	$a_2 h_2$	$a_2 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_2 h_k$
$a_3$	$a_3 h_2$	$a_3 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_3 h_k$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$
$a_r$	$a_r h_2$	$a_r h_3$		$a_r h_k$

Let  $r$  be the number of distinct cosets.

Clearly  $|G| = |H| \cdot r$ , and

$$r = |G|/|H|.$$

$e$	$h_2$	$h_3$	$\dots$	$h_k$
$a_2$	$a_2 h_2$	$a_2 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_2 h_k$
$a_3$	$a_3 h_2$	$a_3 h_3$	$\dots$	$a_3 h_k$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$
$a_r$	$a_r h_2$	$a_r h_3$		$a_r h_k$



---

THANK YOU