

# Operational Amplifiers

## B.Sc. Physics (III)


*Presented by*

*Dr. R. Mary Mathelane*

*M. Phil Physics*

*Associate Professor*

# Operational Amplifiers



Copyright c2005  
T.Kato JA9TTT/JH1WBU

# Introduction: Ideal Operational Amplifier

Operational amplifier (Op-amp) is made of many transistors, diodes, resistors and capacitors in integrated circuit technology.

Ideal op-amp is characterized by:

- Infinite input impedance
- Infinite gain for differential input
- Zero output impedance
- Infinite frequency bandwidth







Figure 14.1 Circuit symbol for the op amp.

# Circuit Symbol and Pin Identification



- 2 Inverting Input
- 3 Non-Inverting Input
- 6 Output
- 7 + Voltage Supply  $V_{CC}$
- 4 - Voltage Supply  $V_{EE}$
- 1 and 5 -- Offset Null

- There are two inputs
  - **inverting** and **non-inverting**
- And one output
- Also power connections (note no explicit ground)



# The ideal op-amp

- **Infinite voltage gain**
  - a voltage difference at the two inputs is magnified infinitely
  - in truth, something like 200,000
  - means difference between + terminal and – terminal is amplified by 200,000!
- **Infinite input impedance**
  - no current flows into inputs
  - in truth, about  $10^{12} \Omega$  for FET input op-amps
- **Zero output impedance**
  - rock-solid independent of load
  - roughly true up to current maximum (usually 5–25 mA)
- **Infinite fast (infinite bandwidth)**
  - in truth, limited to few MHz range
  - slew rate limited to 0.5–20 V/ $\mu$ s

# Inverting Amplifier

Op-amp are almost always used with a negative feedback:

- Part of the output signal is returned to the input with negative sign
- Feedback reduces the gain of op-amp
- Since op-amp has large gain even small input produces large output, thus for the limited output voltage (lest than  $V_{CC}$ ) the input voltage  $v_x$  must be very small.
- Practically we set  $v_x$  to zero when analyzing the op-amp circuits.




Figure 14.4 The inverting amplifier.

$$\text{with } v_x = 0 \quad i_1 = v_{in} / R_1$$

$$i_2 = i_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$v_o = -i_2 R_2 = -v_{in} R_2 / R_1$$

SO


$$A_V = v_o / v_{in} = -R_2 / R_1$$

# Op-amp without feedback

- The internal op-amp formula is:

$$V_{\text{out}} = \text{gain} \times (V_+ - V_-)$$


- So if  $V_+$  is greater than  $V_-$ , the output goes positive
- If  $V_-$  is greater than  $V_+$ , the output goes negative




- A **gain** of 200,000 makes this device (as illustrated here) practically useless




# Inverting Amplifier



# Analysis Using the Ideal OP AMP



# Analysis continued




$$i_n = 0$$

$$i_f = -i_s$$


$$\frac{v_o}{R_f} = -\frac{v_s}{R_s}$$

$$v_o = -\frac{R_f}{R_s} v_s$$

# Non-Inverting Amplifier



# Analysis Using the Ideal OP AMP



$$v_p = v_n$$


$$v_n = v_p = v_g = v_o \frac{R_s}{R_s + R_f}$$

$$v_o = \frac{R_s + R_f}{R_s} v_g$$

$$v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_f}{R_s} \right) v_g$$


# Infinite Gain in negative feedback

- Infinite gain would be useless except in the self-regulated negative feedback regime
  - negative feedback seems bad, and positive good—but in electronics positive feedback means runaway or oscillation, and negative feedback leads to stability
- Imagine hooking the output to the inverting terminal:
- If the output is less than  $V_{in}$ , it shoots positive
- If the output is greater than  $V_{in}$ , it shoots negative
  - result is that output quickly forces itself to be exactly  $V_{in}$



# Even under load


- Even if we load the output (which as pictured wants to drag the output to ground)...
  - the op-amp will do **everything it can** within its current limitations to drive the output until the inverting input reaches  $V_{in}$
  - negative feedback makes it **self-correcting**
  - in this case, the op-amp drives (or pulls, if  $V_{in}$  is negative) a current through the load until the output equals  $V_{in}$
  - so what we have here is a **buffer**: can apply  $V_{in}$  to a load **without burdening** the source of  $V_{in}$  with *any* current!



**Important note:** op-amp output terminal sources/sinks current **at will**: **not like** inputs that have no current flow

# Positive feedback pathology

- In the configuration below, if the + input is even a smidge higher than  $V_{in}$ , the output goes way positive
- This makes the + terminal even *more* positive than  $V_{in}$ , making the situation worse
- This system will immediately “rail” at the supply voltage
  - could rail either direction, depending on initial offset




positive feedback: BAD



# Op-Amp “Golden Rules”


- When an op-amp is configured in *any* negative-feedback arrangement, it will obey the following two rules:
  - The inputs to the op-amp draw or source no current (true whether negative feedback or not)
  - The op-amp output will do whatever it can (within its limitations) to make the voltage difference between the two inputs zero

# Inverting amplifier example




- Applying the rules: – terminal at “virtual ground”
  - so current through  $R_1$  is  $I_f = V_{in}/R_1$
- Current does not flow into op-amp (one of our rules)
  - so the current through  $R_1$  must go through  $R_2$
  - voltage drop across  $R_2$  is then  $I_f R_2 = V_{in} \times (R_2/R_1)$
- So  $V_{out} = 0 - V_{in} \times (R_2/R_1) = -V_{in} \times (R_2/R_1)$
- Thus we amplify  $V_{in}$  by factor  $-R_2/R_1$ 
  - negative sign earns title “inverting” amplifier
- Current is *drawn into* op-amp output terminal

# Non-inverting Amplifier




- Now neg. terminal held at  $V_{in}$ 
  - so current through  $R_1$  is  $I_f = V_{in}/R_1$  (to left, into ground)
- This current cannot come from op-amp input
  - so comes through  $R_2$  (delivered from op-amp output)
  - voltage drop across  $R_2$  is  $I_f R_2 = V_{in} \times (R_2/R_1)$
  - so that output is higher than neg. input terminal by  $V_{in} \times (R_2/R_1)$
  - $V_{out} = V_{in} + V_{in} \times (R_2/R_1) = V_{in} \times (1 + R_2/R_1)$
  - thus gain is  $(1 + R_2/R_1)$ , and is positive
- Current is **sourced** from op-amp output in this example

# Summing Amplifier




- Much like the inverting amplifier, but with two input voltages
  - inverting input still held at virtual ground
  - $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are added together to run through  $R_f$
  - so we get the (inverted) sum:  $V_{out} = -R_f \times (V_1/R_1 + V_2/R_2)$ 
    - if  $R_2 = R_1$ , we get a sum proportional to  $(V_1 + V_2)$
- Can have any number of summing inputs
  - we'll make our D/A converter this way

# Differencing Amplifier




- The non-inverting input is a simple voltage divider:
  - $V_{\text{node}} = V^+ R_2 / (R_1 + R_2)$
- So  $I_f = (V^- - V_{\text{node}}) / R_1$ 
  - $V_{\text{out}} = V_{\text{node}} - I_f R_2 = V^+ (1 + R_2 / R_1) (R_2 / (R_1 + R_2)) - V^- (R_2 / R_1)$
  - so  $V_{\text{out}} = (R_2 / R_1) (V^+ - V^-)$
  - therefore we difference  $V^+$  and  $V^-$

# Differentiator (high-pass)




- For a capacitor,  $Q = CV$ , so  $I_{cap} = dQ/dt = C \cdot dV/dt$ 
  - Thus  $V_{out} = -I_{cap}R = -RC \cdot dV/dt$
- So we have a differentiator, or high-pass filter
  - if signal is  $V_0 \sin \omega t$ ,  $V_{out} = -V_0 RC \omega \cos \omega t$
  - the  $\omega$ -dependence means higher frequencies amplified more

# Low-pass filter (integrator)



- $I_f = V_{in}/R$ , so  $C \cdot dV_{cap}/dt = V_{in}/R$ 
  - and since left side of capacitor is at virtual ground:
 
$$-dV_{out}/dt = V_{in}/RC$$
  - so 
$$V_{out} = -\frac{1}{RC} \int V_{in} dt$$
  - and therefore we have an integrator (low pass)

# RTD Readout Scheme







# Notes on RTD readout


- RTD has resistance  $R = 1000 + 3.85 \times T(^{\circ}\text{C})$
- Goal: put 1.00 mA across RTD and present output voltage proportional to temperature:  $V_{\text{out}} = V_0 + \alpha T$
- First stage:
  - put precision 10.00 V reference across precision 10k $\Omega$  resistor to make 1.00 mA, sending across RTD
  - output is  $-1$  V at  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$ ;  $-1.385$  V at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$
- Second stage:
  - resistor network produces 0.25 mA of source through R9
  - R6 slurps 0.25 mA when stage 1 output is  $-1$  V
    - so no current through feedback  $\rightarrow$  output is zero volts
  - At  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$ , R6 slurps 0.346 mA, leaving net 0.096 that must come through feedback
  - If  $R7 + R8 = 10389$  ohms, output is 1.0 V at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$
- Tuning resistors R11, R7 allows control over offset and gain, respectively: this config set up for  $V_{\text{out}} = 0.01 T$

# Hiding Distortion

- Consider the “push-pull” transistor arrangement to the right
  - an npn transistor (top) and a pnp (bot)
  - wimpy input can drive big load (speaker?)
  - base-emitter voltage differs by 0.6V in each transistor (emitter has arrow)
  - input has to be higher than  $\sim 0.6$  V for the npn to become active
  - input has to be lower than  $-0.6$  V for the pnp to be active
- There is a no-man’s land in between where neither transistor conducts, so one would get “**crossover distortion**”
  - output is zero while input signal is between  $-0.6$  and  $0.6$  V




# Stick it in the feedback loop!



- By sticking the push-pull into an op-amp's feedback loop, we guarantee that the output **faithfully** follows the input!
  - after all, the golden rule demands that **+ input = - input**
- Op-amp jerks up to 0.6 and down to -0.6 at the crossover
  - **it's almost magic**: it figures out the vagaries/nonlinearities of the thing in the loop
- Now get advantages of push-pull drive capability, without the mess

# Dogs in the Feedback



- The op-amp is obligated to contrive the **inverse dog** so that the ultimate output may be as tidy as the input.
- Lesson: you can hide nasty nonlinearities in the feedback loop and the op-amp will “**do the right thing**”

We owe thanks to Hayes & Horowitz, p. 173 of the student manual companion to the *Art of Electronics* for this priceless metaphor.

# Reading

- Read 6.4.2, 6.4.3
- Pay special attention to Figure 6.66 (6.59 in 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.)